

- ***What would you like this the Upper Wenatchee Community Lands Plan process to accomplish? (What would success look like?)***
 - Is a true strategic vision for the future of the diverse landscapes in the basin
 - Engaged full spectrum of stakeholders
 - The plan is relevant to each individual sub-area while reflecting the values shared across the basin
 - Has support for implementation in all three sub-areas
 - Built political support for implementation
 - Identified priority “blocks of land” that are important to our communities
 - Working lands will be retained
 - Know which sections in the “checkerboard” are priorities for addressing local values
 - Mapped linkages between uplands and riparian zones important for salmon recovery
 - Prioritized lands needed for fish and wildlife to survive and thrive, and continue to be essential aspects of local culture and economy
 - Very sensitive resources secured special level of protection
 - Developed a mechanism for accomplishing “land swaps” from public to private and vice versa so that lands can be more readily managed for the benefit of the public and serve the interests of the owners (whether public or private)
 - Reached consensus on which (if any) private land should be considered for acquisition by a public agency
 - Identified ways to utilize local wood in a financially realistic way that can encourage timber harvest to reduce wildlife risks and produce economic benefits
 - Developed an accepted approach to reducing “fuel”
 - Forests are well-managed so there are timber resources now and in the future, even in the face of shifts in climactic conditions
 - Timber industry becomes part of local economy again
 - Roadmap leading to healthy forest function
 - Economic systems and benefits identified to renewably manage lands in basin, regardless of ownership
 - Provide a resource planning tool for government agencies that own land in the study area so that their actions (including land acquisition) contribute to a complementary, basin wide management regime
 - Prioritized recreational resources/areas valued by locals and visitors alike
 - Ensured that popular recreational resources/access is preserved for the future because they are part of the reason that people move here and visit
 - Have a plan for improving/increasing outdoor recreation opportunities
 - Identified and planned for Upper Wenatchee water resources
 - Created a coordinated strategy for improving water quality
 - Know best locations for development
 - Plan is implementable because it has “buy in” from all major players

- Initiated positive discussions with private landowners about access across, or on, their properties in instances where tracts are logical components of a comprehensive trail system
- Leads to funding to implement identified projects
- ***What should its objectives be?***
 - Obtain input from all interest groups through broad and thorough outreach
 - Evaluate who is the constituency for this project
 - Define community
 - Secure commitments from government agencies (*and major landowners?*) that they will align their policies with the plan's recommendations
 - Constructive conversations with individual and corporate owners of large tracts (*possibly outside of public meetings?*)
 - Find the right connections and process to secure USFS cooperation
 - Identify community values and actual parcels that serve each value
 - Discover Weyerhaeuser's interests
 - Figure out how to acquire Weyerhaeuser properties, (if they are going to be sold off)
 - Determine where mineral rights have been reserved under USFS lands, with goal of acquiring/retiring those rights
 - Use a "landscape level" approach to understanding what land ownership and management strategies will best serve our communities
 - Use "best available science" (*but be sure end result is "translated" to be readable to all audiences?*)
 - Ensure that the maps communicate the science and priorities
 - Advance protection, management and enhancement/restoration of fish and wildlife habitat and water resources by identifying priority areas and key corridors
 - Preserve owl habitat
 - Focus on connectivity of ecological systems
 - Study whether there are areas that are especially environmentally sensitive and require special levels of protection (ESA and Natural Heritage species)
 - Assess which streams, rivers, wetlands and lakes have sub-standard water quality
 - Determine the locations of favorite trails/routes for both motorized and non-motorized forms of recreation
 - Create strategies and find funding for securing permanent access and trails
 - Map logging roads and assess whether they should be restored to natural state or converted to recreation use
 - Develop a plan for maintaining area roads and trails, and enforcing restrictions
 - Determine the lands that are most important for cultural reasons
 - Locate most productive working lands
 - Assess where each form of recreation may be more desirable
 - Support improved/continued access to priority recreational resources/areas for all seasons and activities

- Finding a balance between increasing recreation to boost local economy and preserving habitat
 - Analyze all options for “active timber management”
 - Collaborate with North Central Washington Forest Health Collaborative
 - Find a way to produce sufficient timber supply to support local wood products industry
 - Develop a method for “biomass utilization” as a tool for reducing wildfire
 - Research other potential timber lands buyers possibly via American Forest Resource Council
 - Evaluate potential for “community forest” (and funding)
 - Increase residents’ awareness of the connection between forest health and hydrology (and therefore water quantity and quality)
 - Figure out how to reduce catastrophic wildfires
 - Chelan County becomes a model for sustainable forest management
 - Create specific land management recommendations that can be adopted by individual, corporate or government landowners
 - Promote sustainability
 - Report should articulate clear goals, and specific recommendations, while still providing flexibility in how they would be implemented
 - Include both fish and wildlife habitat recommendations and forest health
 - Produce a “comprehensive plan” (*in the parlance of local planning agencies?*)
 - Respect private property rights throughout the process
 - Encourage landowners to respect local values expressed in this process
 - Find best locations for “locals” housing, that makes it financially feasible for young people to stay in the valley. (Important for maintaining supply of employees for service businesses.)
- ***The landscape that is most important to me and my community is _____ because _____***
- Peshastin mountain biking trails are a real draw for younger people and some of the older professionals who are moving here (The checkerboard threatens the integrity of trails and access because if the private land is developed the area will not long appear to be one large open space)
 - All of our forests. I can’t choose one because it is a system and it all needs to be healthy and productive.
 - Critical habitat in Nason area.
 - Wildlife migration corridors
 - Water resources because water supply concerns are real in this watershed
 - Habitat for salmon recovery
 - Recreational amenities because it is important business
 - Lands that contribute to local economic activity because that supports protection of other values

- Chumstick watershed. Needs to be managed for forest ecosystem sustainability.
(Currently is not managed that way.)
- Habitat that contributes to the survival of fish and wildlife species
- Well-managed forests which are central to our economy
- Weyerhaeuser's Nason/Coulter block because of views and access to trails
- Nason Ridge/coulter creek for visual impacts from Stevens Pass Scenic Byway
(gateway to area)
- Lands threatened by development that could detract from recreation, community
forestry opportunities and/or fish habitat (for salmonids)
- Nason Ridge for year round recreation and possible expansion of State Park
- Corridor along Nason Creek all the way to WSDOT station near the Pass
- Entire area because it is within the *ceded* (?) area of the Yakama Nation
- Federal lands and potential lands for acquisition (*within the checkerboard?*)